Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	09/666,281		LEE ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Tony Mahmoudi		2175		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>Samuel Ntiros</u> .	(3) <u>Tony Mahm</u>	oudi.			
(2) <u>Sam Rimell</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 27 August 2004.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)∐ applicant's re	presentative	]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1, 14, and 19</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: None.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g)∏ was not reach	ned. h)∐ N	/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the genera reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		is agreed to	if an agreement	was	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendi	examiner agi ments that w	reed would render the	er the claims claims	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse section.	e last Office action THE MAILING DA OF THE SUBSTA	has already ATE OF THIS NCE OF TH	been filed, APP S INTERVIEW S	LICANT IS UMMARY	
		,			
				,	
		Con	W_		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Exa	Examiner's signature, if required			

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

As requested by the attorney during the previous interview conducted on 14-April-2004, the examiner called the attorney upon receipt of the amendments filed on 29-April-2004. The examiner indicated that the new dependent claims 21-23 added by the applicant would not put the independent claims 1, 14 and 19 in a better condition for allowance. Further, the examiner suggested that incorporating the previously "objected to" dependent claims (for containing allowable subject matter) into the independent claims, or re-writing the "objected to" claims in independent form, would place the application in a better form for allowance. The attorney faxed to the examiner an amendment, authorized to be entered as an "examiner's amendment".